



UNIQUE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
NORTH TEXAS PAY PHONE, INC.

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

December 9, 2004

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
DEC 14 2004
FCC - MAILROOM

EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Chairman Michael K. Powell
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-B201
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Access to Unbundled Network Elements, WC Docket No. 03-225

Dear Chairman Powell:

I am writing to urge you, in taking action on the pending revision of the Unbundled Network Element (UNE) rules, to ensure that payphone companies like mine continue to have competitive alternatives for their local service needs.

As the Commission has long recognized, payphones play a critical role in meeting the needs of the public for communications on the move – especially in emergencies and in disasters such as 9/11. And for many citizens, payphones are the only telephones they can use. That is why Congress mandated wide availability of service in the Telecommunications Act. To continue in providing payphone services, my company must have reliable local service connections at a reasonable cost. This is so because my local phone bill is my single largest monthly cost of operating my phones. To keep service quality high and costs low, we rely on the availability of competitive telephone companies for local service. Without competitive local service options like UNE-P, there is no market check on what we may be charged by the incumbent telephone company.

For our payphones, the only competitive alternative that has proved effective to date is UNE-P. Our payphones do not transmit data and do not need broadband channels; thus, broadband facilities do not provide a viable competitive alternative for our payphones. In the last few years, we have had to remove a large number of our payphones from service because their revenue was insufficient to meet operating costs. Without UNE-P, the American public is sure to lose more of the valuable payphone services they need and rely on today.


Therefore, I urge you to take steps to ensure that the FCC's revised UNE rules preserve competitive local service alternatives for payphones. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Greg Miller
President

cc: **Jane Nelson**
Todd Smith
Kay Granger
Marlene H. Durtch

Date: _____



UNIQUE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
NORTH TEXAS PAY PHONE, INC.

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

December 9, 2004

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
DEC 14 2004
FCC - MAILROOM

EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Commissioner Kevin J. Martin
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-A204
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Access to Unbundled Network Elements, WC Docket No. 03-225*

Dear Commissioner Martin:


I am writing to urge you, in taking action on the pending revision of the Unbundled Network Element (UNE) rules, to ensure that payphone companies like mine continue to have competitive alternatives for their local service needs.

As the Commission has long recognized, payphones play a critical role in meeting the needs of the public for communications on the move – especially in emergencies and in disasters such as 9/11. And for many citizens, payphones are the only telephones they can use. That is why Congress mandated wide availability of service in the Telecommunications Act. To continue in providing payphone services, my company must have reliable local service connections at a reasonable cost. This is so because my local phone bill is my single largest monthly cost of operating my phones. To keep service quality high and costs low, we rely on the availability of competitive telephone companies for local service. Without competitive local service options like UNE-P, there is no market check on what we may be charged by the incumbent telephone company.


For our payphones, the only competitive alternative that has proved effective to date is UNE-P. Our payphones do not transmit data and do not need broadband channels; thus, broadband facilities do not provide a viable competitive alternative for our payphones. In the last few years, we have had to remove a large number of our payphones from service because their revenue was insufficient to meet operating costs. Without UNE-P, the American public is sure to lose more of the valuable payphone services they need and rely on today.


Therefore, I urge you to take steps to ensure that the FCC's revised UNE rules preserve competitive local service alternatives for payphones. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Greg Miller
President

cc: Jane Nelson
Todd Smith
Kay Granger
Marlene H. Dortch





**UNIQUE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
NORTH TEXAS PAY PHONE, INC.**

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

DEC 14 2004

FCC - MAILROOM

December 9, 2004

EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

**Commissioner Michael J. Copps
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-A302
Washington, DC 20554**

Re: Access to Unbundled Network Elements, WC Docket No. 03-225

Dear Commissioner Copps:

I am writing to urge you, in taking action on the pending revision of the Unbundled Network Element (UNE) rules, to ensure that payphone companies like mine continue to have competitive alternatives for their local service needs.

As the Commission has long recognized, payphones play a critical role in meeting the needs of the public for communications on the move – especially in emergencies and in disasters such as 9/11. And for many citizens, payphones are the only telephones they can use. That is why Congress mandated wide availability of service in the Telecommunications Act. To continue in providing payphone services, my company must have reliable local service connections at a reasonable cost. This is so because my local phone bill is my single largest monthly cost of operating my phones. To keep service quality high and costs low, we rely on the availability of competitive telephone companies for local service. Without competitive local service options like UNE-P, there is no market check on what we may be charged by the incumbent telephone company.

For our payphones, the only competitive alternative that has proved effective to date is UNE-P. Our payphones do not transmit data and do not need broadband channels; thus, broadband facilities do not provide a viable competitive alternative for our payphones. In the last few years, we have had to remove a large number of our payphones from service because their revenue was insufficient to meet operating costs. Without UNE-P, the American public is sure to lose more of the valuable payphone services they need and rely on today.


Therefore, I urge you to take steps to ensure that the FCC's revised UNE rules preserve competitive local service alternatives for payphones. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Greg Miller
President

cc: **Jane Nelson
Todd Smith
Kay Granger
Marlene H. Dortch**


Jane Nelson
Secretary



UNIQUE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
NORTH TEXAS PAY PHONE, INC.

December 9, 2004

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

DEC 14 2004

FCC - MAILROOM

EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-C302
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Access to Unbundled Network Elements*, WC Docket No. 03-225

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:


I am writing to urge you, in taking action on the pending revision of the Unbundled Network Element (UNE) rules, to ensure that payphone companies like mine continue to have competitive alternatives for their local service needs.

As the Commission has long recognized, payphones play a critical role in meeting the needs of the public for communications on the move – especially in emergencies and in disasters such as 9/11. And for many citizens, payphones are the only telephones they can use. That is why Congress mandated wide availability of service in the Telecommunications Act. To continue in providing payphone services, my company must have reliable local service connections at a reasonable cost. This is so because my local phone bill is my single largest monthly cost of operating my phones. To keep service quality high and costs low, we rely on the availability of competitive telephone companies for local service. Without competitive local service options like UNE-P, there is no market check on what we may be charged by the incumbent telephone company.

For our payphones, the only competitive alternative that has proved effective to date is UNE-P. Our payphones do not transmit data and do not need broadband channels; thus, broadband facilities do not provide a viable competitive alternative for our payphones. In the last few years, we have had to remove a large number of our payphones from service because their revenue was insufficient to meet operating costs. Without UNE-P, the American public is sure to lose more of the valuable payphone services they need and rely on today.


Therefore, I urge you to take steps to ensure that the FCC's revised UNE rules preserve competitive local service alternatives for payphones. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Greg Miller
President

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

cc: Jane Nelson
Todd Smith
Kay Granger
Marlene H. Durtch



UNIQUE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
NORTH TEXAS PAY PHONE, INC.

December 9, 2004

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

DEC 14 2004

FCC - MAILROOM

EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-B115
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Access to Unbundled Network Elements*, WC Docket No. 03-225

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I am writing to urge you, in taking action on the pending revision of the Unbundled Network Element (UNE) rules, to ensure that payphone companies like mine continue to have competitive alternatives for their local service needs.

As the Commission has long recognized, payphones play a critical role in meeting the needs of the public for communications on the move – especially in emergencies and in disasters such as 9/11. And for many citizens, payphones are the only telephones they can use. That is why Congress mandated wide availability of service in the Telecommunications Act. To continue in providing payphone services, my company must have reliable local service connections at a reasonable cost. This is so because my local phone bill is my single largest monthly cost of operating my phones. To keep service quality high and costs low, we rely on the availability of competitive telephone companies for local service. Without competitive local service options like UNE-P, there is no market check on what we may be charged by the incumbent telephone company.

For our payphones, the only competitive alternative that has proved effective to date is UNE-P. Our payphones do not transmit data and do not need broadband channels; thus, broadband facilities do not provide a viable competitive alternative for our payphones. In the last few years, we have had to remove a large number of our payphones from service because their revenue was insufficient to meet operating costs. Without UNE-P, the American public is sure to lose more of the valuable payphone services they need and rely on today.


Therefore, I urge you to take steps to ensure that the FCC's revised UNE rules preserve competitive local service alternatives for payphones. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,


Greg Miller
President

cc: Jane Nelson
Todd Smith
Kay Granger
Marlene H. Durtch


Jane Nelson
Secretary



UNIQUE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
NORTH TEXAS PAY PHONE, INC.

December 9, 2004

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

DEC 14 2004

FCC - MAILROOM

EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Congressman Kay Granger
Congressional District 12
435 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Re: Access to Unbundled Network Elements, WC Docket No. 03-225

Dear Congressman Granger:

I am writing to urge you, in taking action on the pending revision of the Unbundled Network Element (UNE) rules, to ensure that payphone companies like mine continue to have competitive alternatives for their local service needs.

As the Commission has long recognized, payphones play a critical role in meeting the needs of the public for communications on the move – especially in emergencies and in disasters such as 9/11. And for many citizens, payphones are the only telephones they can use. That is why Congress mandated wide availability of service in the Telecommunications Act. To continue in providing payphone services, my company must have reliable local service connections at a reasonable cost. This is so because my local phone bill is my single largest monthly cost of operating my phones. To keep service quality high and costs low, we rely on the availability of competitive telephone companies for local service. Without competitive local service options like UNE-P, there is no market check on what we may be charged by the incumbent telephone company.

For our payphones, the only competitive alternative that has proved effective to date is UNE-P. Our payphones do not transmit data and do not need broadband channels; thus, broadband facilities do not provide a viable competitive alternative for our payphones. In the last few years, we have had to remove a large number of our payphones from service because their revenue was insufficient to meet operating costs. Without UNE-P, the American public is sure to lose more of the valuable payphone services they need and rely on today.

Therefore, I urge you to take steps to ensure that the FCC's revised UNE rules preserve competitive local service alternatives for payphones. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Greg Miller
President

cc: **Jane Nelson**
Todd Smith
Marlene H. Durtch

MAILED 12-14-04 0